International Journal of Dental Sciences
www.dentaljournal.in
E-ISSN: 2663-4708, P-ISSN: 2663-4694
Received: 23-11-2025; Accepted: 22-12-2025; Published: 06-01-2026
Volume 8, Issue 1, 2026, Page No. 1-2

The scope and prospects of diascopy in oral diagnosis

Dr. T Dinesh Raja[1], Dr. N Preethi[1], Dr. N Sornaa[2], Dr. T Maheswaran[3*], Dr. I Janani[4], Dr. Manisha Kumar[5]

[1] Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Dental College, Perambalur, Tamil Nadu, India

[2] Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Chettinad Dental College & Research Institute, Tamil Nadu, India

[3] Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

[4] Associate Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

[5] Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

[*] Corresponding Author. Email: maheswaranmds@gmail.com

Abstract

Diascopy is a fundamental clinical examination technique for differentiating vascular from pigmented oral lesions by applying external pressure to assess tissue blanching characteristics. This noninvasive chairside procedure is particularly useful for diagnosing hemangiomas, varicosities, and pyogenic granulomas and for excluding melanotic lesions. This technique appears in some of the published diagnostic algorithms for oral pigmented lesions. Despite its diagnostic value and accessibility, diascopy has limitations, including operator dependence, variable effectiveness for deep-seated lesions, and insufficient specificity as a standalone diagnostic tool. Therefore, it must be integrated with comprehensive clinical examination and histopathological confirmation for a definitive diagnosis.

Keywords: Diascopy, oral pigmented lesions, vascular lesions, blanching response, differential diagnosis

Introduction

Oral mucosal lesions with red, purple, brown, or blue coloration pose diagnostic challenges and require systematic clinical evaluation. The extensive differential diagnosis of oral pigmentation encompasses traumatic, reactive, neoplastic, and systemic disease manifestations [1]. Diascopy, a simple examination maneuver involving pressure application through a transparent medium, enables the preliminary differentiation between vascular lesions that blanch and pigmented lesions that retain their color [2]. This technique requires minimal equipment, causes no discomfort to the patient, and provides immediate clinical information. Understanding the diagnostic capabilities of diascopy enhances clinical decision-making, facilitates appropriate referral timing, and reduces unnecessary invasive procedures. This review synthesizes the current evidence regarding the clinical applications, diagnostic accuracy, inherent limitations, and evolving role of diascopy in contemporary oral diagnosis and treatment.

Fundamental Principles and Clinical Technique

Diascopy operates on the principle that external pressure temporarily displaces blood from superficial vascular channels, producing a transient color change that reverses upon pressure release. The examination utilizes transparent materials, including glass microscope slides, clear plastic spatulas, and specialized diascopes, applied firmly against the lesion [2]. Vascular lesions containing intraluminal blood demonstrate positive blanching, while pigmented lesions containing melanin, hemosiderin, or exogenous materials exhibit negative results due to the extravascular location of the pigment. The technique's simplicity and immediate results make it particularly valuable during the initial patient assessment, although interpretation requires clinical experience to distinguish between complete, partial, or absent blanching responses. Standardized examination protocols enhance consistency and diagnostic reliability among practitioners.

Clinical Applications in Vascular Lesion Diagnosis

Oral varicosities are the most common oral mucosal lesions in older adults, typically manifesting as bluish-purple tortuous vessels affecting the ventral tongue and lips [3]. Sublingual varices demonstrate blanching during diascopy, confirming their vascular origin and distinguishing them from melanotic or purpuric lesions that resist pressure-induced color changes [4]. Patients with sublingual varices often have a history of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, or smoking [5]. Diascopy provides a valuable preliminary assessment before considering advanced imaging or biopsy procedures.

Pyogenic granuloma is a common inflammatory hyperplastic lesion characterized by highly vascularized friable tissue [6]. The rich vascularity of the lesion produces characteristic positive blanching during diascopy, supporting the clinical diagnosis and differentiating it from peripheral giant cell granuloma and peripheral ossifying fibroma. Early stage lesions demonstrate more pronounced blanching than mature, fibrotic variants. Dermoscopy combined with traditional diascopy enhances diagnostic accuracy by providing a magnified visualization of the vascular architecture [7].

Role in Pigmented Lesion Evaluation

The differential diagnosis of oral pigmentation requires a systematic evaluation incorporating patient history, lesion characteristics, and clinical examination techniques, including diascopy [8]. Diascopy serves as the primary non-

invasive method for excluding vascular etiology when evaluating pigmented oral lesions. Melanotic lesions including physiological pigmentation, melanotic macules, nevi, and melanomas demonstrate negative diascopy results, maintaining their appearance under pressure [1]. Amalgam tattoos and drug-induced pigmentation similarly resist blanching. This negative finding, although not diagnostic, alerts clinicians to consider melanocytic proliferation requiring biopsy evaluation, particularly in lesions exhibiting irregular borders, asymmetry or recent changes.

Diagnostic Accuracy and Clinical Limitations

Systematic review evidence indicates the inclusion of diascopy in diagnostic algorithms, although its specificity and sensitivity as an isolated technique remain limited [2]. Positive diascopy confirms a vascular etiology but cannot distinguish between benign and malignant vascular proliferations. Deep-seated vascular lesions may exhibit minimal or absent blanching, despite their vascular origin. Mixed lesions containing both vascular and pigmented components produce equivocal results, requiring alternative diagnostic approaches. Operator technique variability, including applied pressure intensity and duration, affects the reproducibility of the results. Thrombosed or fibrotic vascular lesions have reduced blanching capacity. These limitations necessitate the integration of comprehensive patient history, lesion documentation, and confirmatory diagnostic procedures, rather than relying on diascopy as a definitive diagnostic tool.

Table 1: Diascopy Results in Common Oral Lesions

Clinical Finding	Diascopy Result	Diagnostic Consideration
Sublingual varicosities	Positive blanching	Varicosities, consider hypertension screening
Pyogenic granuloma	Complete blanching	Reactive vascular proliferation
Melanotic macule	No blanching	Benign melanocytic lesion
Oral melanoma	No blanching	Requires urgent biopsy
Hemangioma	Positive blanching	May require imaging assessment
Amalgam tattoo	No blanching	Radiography confirms radiopaque particles

Contemporary Practice and Future Directions

Current evidence supports the continued utility of diascopy in comprehensive oral examination protocols as an initial screening maneuver. The simplicity, immediate results, and zero patient risk associated with this technique justify its routine application in mucosal assessment. Clinicians should systematically document diascopy findings by integrating the results with patient demographics, medical history, and lesion evolution patterns. Digital photography before and during diascopy enhances the documentation quality and facilitates interdisciplinary consultation. Emerging technologies, including smartphone-based applications with standardized pressure sensors and color analysis algorithms, may improve the objectivity and reduce inter-examiner variability. Advanced imaging modalities, including optical coherence tomography and confocal microscopy, complement diascopy by providing subsurface tissue visualization without invasive sampling. Educational initiatives emphasizing proper diascopy technique and result interpretation can enhance diagnostic accuracy across general dental practice settings.

Conclusion

Diascopy is clinically relevant as an accessible, noninvasive, preliminary diagnostic technique for oral vascular and pigmented lesions. Its capacity to differentiate blanching vascular entities from non-blanching pigmented lesions provides valuable clinical information during the initial patient assessment. However, diascopy functions optimally within integrated diagnostic algorithms that incorporate comprehensive patient evaluation, systematic documentation, and appropriate use of imaging and histopathological confirmation techniques. Recognition of the inherent limitations of diascopy, including operator dependence, variable effectiveness for deep lesions, and limited specificity, prevents diagnostic errors and ensures appropriate patient management through timely referral and definitive tissue diagnosis when indicated.

References

1. Gondak RO, da Silva-Jorge R, Jorge J, Lopes MA, Vargas PA. Oral pigmented lesions: Clinicopathologic features and review of the literature. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal,2012:17(6):919-24. Doi: 10.4317/medoral.17679. PMID: 22549672; PMCID: PMC3505710.
2. Pérez-López D, Pena-Cristóbal M, Otero-Rey EM, Tomás I, Blanco-Carrión A. Clinical value of diascopy and other non-invasive techniques on differential diagnosis algorithms of oral pigmentations: A systematic review. J Clin Exp Dent,2016:8(4):448-458. doi: 10.4317/jced.53005. PMID: 27703615; PMCID: PMC5045694.
3. Lazos JP, Piemonte ED, Panico RL. Oral varix: a review. Gerodontology,2015:32(2):82-9. doi: 10.1111/ger.12074. Epub 2013 Sep 1. PMID: 23992044.
4. Jafari A, Alaee A, Rezai M, Masoudi M. Evaluation of Sublingual Varices Prevalence and Its Respective Factors in Two Iranian Nursing Homes in 2019. Iran J Otorhinolaryngol,2022:34(123):165-169. doi: 10.22038/IJORL.2022.63364.3170. PMID: 36035648; PMCID: PMC9393003.
5. Hedström L, Albrektsson M, Bergh H. Is there a connection between sublingual varices and hypertension? BMC Oral Health,201511:15:78. doi: 10.1186/s12903-015-0054-2. PMID: 26163474; PMCID: PMC4499223.
6. Jafarzadeh H, Sanatkhani M, Mohtasham N. Oral pyogenic granuloma: a review. J Oral Sci,2006:48(4):167-75. doi: 10.2334/josnusd.48.167. PMID: 17220613.
7. Jha AK, Zeeshan MD, Jha Amar AK. Mucoscopy in lingual varicosities. Dermatol Pract Concept,2018:31:8(1):54-55. doi:10.5826/dpc.0801a12. PMID: 29445577; PMCID: PMC5808374.
8. Abati S, Sandri GF, Finotello L, Polizzi E. Differential Diagnosis of Pigmented Lesions in the Oral Mucosa: A Clinical Based Overview and Narrative Review. Cancers (Basel),2024:16(13):2487. Doi: 10.3390/cancers16132487. PMID: 39001549; PMCID: PMC11240708.